

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/045,963	10/24/2001	Robert Anthony Hirst	18377-0006	2781
75	90 09/17/2004		EXAM	INER
William L. Warren			GUCKER, STEPHEN	
SUTHERLANI 999 Peachtree S	O ASBILL & BRENNAN Street, NE	NLLP	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Atlanta, GA 30309-3996			1647	
			DATE MAIL ED: 09/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/045,963	HIRST ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Stephen Gucker	1647			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	•	the correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI cause the application to become ABA	aly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 A</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matte				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-16 and 21-31 is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 17-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 17-20 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	e withdrawn from considera	tion.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	PF.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Ap rity documents have been r u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Su				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/114/03, 2/19/03. 	es 🗖 Nietre e et le 4	/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 10/045,963

Art Unit: 1647

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group III, claims 17-20, filed 8/2/04 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that no serious search burden exists for the Examiner because four of the seven inventive groups belong to the same U.S. patent class and subclass. This is not found persuasive because in addition to the U.S. patent literature, a great deal of non-U.S. patent and non-patent literature must be searched which do not use the U.S. patent classification system. Furthermore, in addition to anticipatory or obviousness prior art issues, the distinct inventive groups require searching the prior art for consideration of complex enablement issues as some of the inventive groups concern genetically modified neuronal cells, transgenic animals, and genetic therapy on humans, and the searches in these areas for either prior art or enablement issues would not overlap and indeed would be unduly burdensome on the Examiner.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

- 2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Australia on 10/24/00. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the patent application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).
- 3. Claim 17 (and therefore claims 18-20 as well) is (are) objected to as being dependent upon non-elected claims. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/045,963

Art Unit: 1647

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claims as written encompass products of nature which do not show the hand of man because the product by process limitations of the instant claims do not appear to distinguish partially or terminally differentiated neuronal cells as recited in the instant claims from partially or terminally differentiated neuronal cells that arise from stem cells *in vivo* in many animal species. The grounds of this rejection could be obviated by amending the claims to recite an isolated or purified partially or terminally differentiated neuronal cell.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee et al. (PTO-1449 filed 1/14/03, reference AG, "Lee"). Lee teaches a terminally differentiated (action potential producing) tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) positive dopaminergic neuronal cell with axon-like projections that was derived from murine embryonic stem cells (ES), meeting all the claim limitations of non-elected claim 1 upon which all the rejected claims depend from . See abstract, pages 675-677, and Figures 2-4 of Lee.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/045,963

Art Unit: 1647

7. No claim is allowed.

8. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technical Center 1600 general number which is (571) 272-1600.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gucker whose telephone number is (571) 272-0883. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 0930 to 1800. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback, can be reached at (571) 272-0961. The fax phone number for this Group is currently (703) 872-9306.

Stephen Gucker

September 16, 2004

BRENDA BRUMBACK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINES
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 16 18